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## Relational Query Languages

Query languages: Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.

* Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic.
- Allows for much optimization.
* Query Languages != programming languages!
" QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
- QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
- QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.


## Formal Relational Query Languages

* Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
- Relational Algebra: More operational, very useful for representing execution plans.
- Relational Calculus: Lets users describe what they want, rather than how to compute it. (Nonoperational, declarative.)


## Preliminaries

* A query is applied to relation instances, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.
- Schemas of input relations for a query are fixed (but query will run regardless of instance!)
- The schema for the result of a given query is also fixed! Determined by definition of query language constructs.


## Example Instances

"Sailors" and "Reserves" relations for our examples.

$S 1$| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

S2 | sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

$R 1$| $\frac{\text { sid }}{}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

## Relational Algebra

* Basic operations:
- Selection ( $\sigma$ ) Selects a subset of rows from relation.
- Projection ( П) Deletes unwanted columns from relation.
- Cross-product $(\times)$ Allows us to combine two relations.
- Set-difference ( - ) Tuples in reln. 1, but not in reln. 2.
- Union (U) Tuples in reln. 1 and in reln. 2.
* Additional operations:
- Intersection, join, division, renaming: Not essential, but (very!) useful.
* Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be composed! (Algebra is "closed".)
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|}\text { Projection } & \text { sname } & \text { rating } \\
\text { * Deletes attributes that are not in } \\
\text { projection list. }\end{array}
$$ \quad \begin{array}{l}yuppy <br>
lubber <br>
guppy <br>

rusty\end{array}\right]\)| 8 |
| :--- |
| 5 |

* Schema of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the (only) input relation.
* Projection operator has to eliminate duplicates! (Why??)
- Note: real systems typically don't do duplicate elimination unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)


## $\pi$ <br> sname,rating

\section*{S2 <br> Selection <br> | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |}

* Selects rows that satisfy selection condition.
* No duplicates in result! (Why?)
* Schema of result identical to schema of (only) input relation.
* Result relation can be the input for another relational algebra operation!
(Operator composition.)

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |

$\sigma$

## rating $>8(S 2)$

| sname | rating |
| :--- | :--- |
| yuppy <br> rusty | 9 |

## Union, Intersection, Set-Difference

* All of these operations take two input relations, which must be union-compatible:

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | S1

- Same number of fields.
- ‘Corresponding’ fields have the same type.
*What is the schema of result?

$S 1 \cap S 2$| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |


$S 1-S 2$| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |


| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |

$S 1 \cup S 2$

\section*{Cross-Product <br> | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | <br> | $\frac{\text { sid }}{22}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
|  |  |  |
| RI |  |  |}

* Each row of S1 is paired with each row of R1.
* Result schema has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names `inherited' if possible.
- Conflict: Both S1 and R1 have a field called sid.

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

- Renaming operator: $\quad \rho(C(1 \rightarrow$ sid $1,5 \rightarrow$ sid 2$), S 1 \times R 1)$

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | sid | bid | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | RI |  |  |

## Joins

* Condition Join:

$$
R \bowtie_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)
$$

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

## $R \bowtie_{R 1 . s i d<S 1 . s i d} S$

* Result schema same as that of cross-product.
* Fewer tuples than cross-product, might be able to compute more efficiently
* Sometimes called a theta-join.

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | R1 |  |  |

## Joins

## S1.

* Equi-Join: A special case of condition join where the condition $c$ contains only equalities.

| sid | sname | rating | age | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

## $R \bowtie_{\text {sid }} S$

* Result schema similar to cross-product, but only one copy of fields for which equality is specified.
* Natural Join: Equijoin on all common fields.


## Division

* Not supported as a primitive operator, but useful for expressing queries like:

Find sailors who have reserved all boats.

* Let $A$ have 2 fields, $x$ and $y$; $B$ have only field $y$ :
- $A / B=\{\langle x\rangle \mid \exists\langle x, y\rangle \in A \quad \forall\langle y\rangle \in B\}$
- i.e., $A / B$ contains all $x$ tuples (sailors) such that for every $y$ tuple (boat) in $B$, there is an $x y$ tuple in $A$.
- Or: If the set of $y$ values (boats) associated with an $x$ value (sailor) in $A$ contains all $y$ values in $B$, the $x$ value is in $A / B$.
* In general, $x$ and $y$ can be any lists of fields; $y$ is the list of fields in $B$, and $x \cup y$ is the list of fields of $A$.


## Examples of Division $A / B$

| sno | pno |
| :--- | :--- |
| s1 | p1 |
| s1 | p2 |
| s1 | p3 |
| s1 | p4 |
| s2 | p1 |
| s2 | p2 |
| s3 | p2 |
| s4 | p2 |
| s4 | p4 |
| $A$ |  |



B1

| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s2 |
| s3 |
| s4 |

A/B1


B2

$A / B 2$

| pno |
| :--- |
| p1 |
| p2 |
| p4 |

B3

| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |

A/B3

Find sailors who have reserved all boats?
(A/B)


## Expressing $A / B$ Using Basic Operators

* Division is not essential op; just a useful shorthand.
- (Also true of joins, but joins are so common that systems implement joins specially.)
* Idea: For $A / B$, compute all $x$ values that are not 'disqualified' by some $y$ value in $B$.
- $x$ value is disqualified if by attaching $y$ value from $B$, we obtain an $x y$ tuple that is not in $A$.
Disqualified $x$ values: $\quad \pi_{x}\left(\left(\pi_{x}(A) \times B\right)-A\right)$
$A / B: \quad \pi_{x}(A)-$ all disqualified tuples

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | RI |  |  |

Find names of sailors who've reserved boat \#103

* Solution 1: $\quad \pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {bid }=103}\right.\right.$ Reserves $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$
* Solution 2: $\quad \rho\left(\right.$ Temp $1, \sigma_{b i d=103}$ Reserves $)$

$$
\rho(\text { Temp2,Temp } 1 \bowtie \text { Sailors })
$$

$$
\pi_{\text {sname }}(\text { Temp } 2)
$$

* Solution 3: $\quad \pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\sigma_{b i d=103}\right.$ (Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors)

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | bid | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | RI |  |  |

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat

* Information about boat color only available in Boats; so need an extra join:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color='red' }}\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $)$
* A more efficient solution:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\pi_{\text {bid }}\left(\sigma_{\text {color='red' }}\right.\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $) \bowtie$ Sailors $\left.)\right)$
A query optimizer can find this, given the first solution!

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | RI |  |  |

Find sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

* Can identify all red or green boats, then find sailors who've reserved one of these boats:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(\text { Tempboats, }\left(\sigma_{\text {color='red'vcolor='green' }} \text { Boats }\right)\right) \\
& \pi_{\text {sname }}(\text { Tempboats } \bowtie \text { Reserves } \bowtie \text { Sailors })
\end{aligned}
$$

* Can also define Tempboats using union! (How?)
*What happens if $\vee$ is replaced by $\wedge$ in this query?

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | R1 |  |  |

Find sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat

* Previous approach won't work! Must identify sailors who've reserved red boats, sailors who've reserved green boats, then find the intersection (note that sid is a key for Sailors):
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempred, $\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color='red' }}\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempgreen, $\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color='green' }}\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\left.)\right)$
$\pi_{\text {sname }}(($ Tempred $\cap$ Tempgreen $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$

| $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | sname | rating | age | $\underline{\text { sid }}$ | $\underline{\text { bid }}$ | $\underline{\text { day }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | RI |  |  |

Find the names of sailors who've reserved all boats

* Uses division; schemas of the input relations to / must be carefully chosen: $\rho\left(\right.$ Tempsids, $\left(\pi_{\text {sid }, \text { bid }}\right.$ Reserves $) /\left(\pi_{\text {bid }}\right.$ Boats $\left.)\right)$

$$
\pi_{\text {sname }}(\text { Tempsids } \bowtie \text { Sailors })
$$

*To find sailors who've reserved all 'Interlake' boats:

$$
\ldots . . . \quad \pi_{\text {bid }}\left(\sigma_{\text {bname }}=\text { Interlake }^{\text {Boats })}\right.
$$

## Summary

* The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful.
* Relational algebra is more operational; useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
* Several ways of expressing a given query; a query optimizer should choose the most efficient version.

